Postal Regulatory Commission Submitted 5/25/2012 2:51:34 PM Filing ID: 82780 Accepted 5/25/2012

BEFORE THE POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268–0001

TRANSFER OF PARCEL POST TO THE COMPETITIVE PRODUCT LIST

Docket No. MC2012-13

RESPONSE OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE TO CHAIRMAN'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 2 (May 25, 2012)

Chairman's Information Request (CHIR) No. 2 was issued on May 22, 2012. The request sought an answer no later than May 29, 2012. Attached is the Postal Service's response.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr. Chief Counsel, Pricing & Product Support

John F. Rosato

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260-1137 (202) 268-8597, Fax -6187 May 25, 2012

RESPONSE OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE TO CHAIRMAN'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 2

In response to Chairman's Information Request No. 1, the Postal Service
reported that the FY2011 attributable cost for Alaska Bypass Service was
\$7,399,745. The Postal Service explained that it relied on data from the Surface
Air Management System (SAM-S). However, the 2011 Annual Compliance
Report suggests that the attributable cost for Alaska Bypass Service was
\$8,674,985. Please reconcile the two values and explain why the SAM-S value is
preferred.

RESPONSE:

The \$8.674 million figure that the Commission cites from the 2011 Annual Compliance Report was for cost segment 14.1.1, Domestic Alaska Air. This cost segment includes \$7.399 million for Alaska Bypass Service and \$1.275 million for non-preferential air transportation for non-bypass Parcel Post packages transported in Alaska.

Since the proposed Alaska Bypass Service would not incur costs from the new "competitive" Parcel Post product, the \$7.399 million figure from SAM-S most accurately reflects the attributable cost for the proposed Alaska Bypass Service in FY 2011. The remaining \$1.275 million for non-bypass Parcel Post packages was attributed to the new "competitive" Parcel Post in the Postal Service's response to CHIR No. 1.